

DOGGER BANK WIND FARM

Doggerbank Offshore Windfarm
1 Waterloo Street
Glasgow
G2 6AY

Department for Energy Security and Net Zero
1 Victoria Street
London
SW1H 0ET

9th May 2024

Our Ref: LF700013-CST-RHD-LET-0004

The Dogger Bank Teesside A and B Offshore Wind Farm Order 2015 (as amended) – Proposed Non-material Change Application

Regulation 7(a) - Request for Approval of the Consultee List

Doggerbank Offshore Wind Farm Project 3 Projco Limited (the Projco) is a Joint Venture between SSE, Equinor and Vårgrønn, which has been set up to take forward the development of The Dogger Bank Teesside A Offshore Wind Farm (now known as Dogger Bank C Offshore Wind Farm (DBC)).

Development consent was granted for the construction and operation of DBC in August 2015 under The Dogger Bank Teesside A and B Offshore Wind Farm Order 2015 (the DCO). The DCO also authorised the Dogger Bank Teesside B Offshore Wind Farm (now known as the Sofia Offshore Wind Farm (Sofia)). SSE and Equinor were two of four companies comprising the original Forewind Limited consortium that applied for, and was granted, the DCO. As you will be aware, since the DCO was granted the consortium has split: SSE and Equinor now own 40% each and Vårgrønn owns 20% and have the benefit of the DCO in respect of DBC. Sofia Offshore Wind Farm Limited (SOWFL) now owns 100% of Sofia and has the benefit of the DCO in respect of Sofia.

The DCO has been subsequently amended by non-material change applications for the following:

- To permit an increase in turbine rotor diameter and removal of the stated gross electrical output capacity of up to 1.2 gigawatts;
- To reflect that the Sofia and Teesside A projects are being taken forward by separate project companies and make the necessary changes to the DCO to facilitate the delivery of the projects;
- To increase the maximum hammer energy for monopiles from 3,000 kJ up to 4,000 kJ for the wind turbine generators.

The DBC project team is intending to apply for a further non-material change to the DCO as amended in relation to the offshore works for DBC only. The proposed amendments do not relate to Sofia. The proposed non-material change to the DCO (as amended) is for:

1. An increase to the maximum permitted hammer energy from 1,900 kJ to 3,000 kJ, for pin-pile foundations only.

The proposed change is relevant to the offshore works only as it relates to hammer energy, and no changes are being sought to the onshore works.

In accordance with Regulation 7(a) of the Infrastructure Planning (Changes to, and Revocation of, Development Consent Orders) Regulations 2011, we are seeking the consent of the Secretary of State for a focused and targeted consultation for the proposed non-material change application. This is due to the proposed amendment only being relevant to the offshore works and therefore not affecting onshore consultees or landowners. As such, it is not considered necessary to consult all stakeholders that were informed of, or involved in, the DCO application process. In identifying the consultee list, consideration has also been given to the consultation responses received as a result of the Projco's previous non-material change application to the DCO (applied for in March 2020) in relation to the increase in monopile hammer energy, as well as other recent and similar applications made by other developers including those made by Sofia and SSE and Equinor's other project companies in relation to the Dogger Bank Creyke Beck A & B Projects.

As such, a reduced consultee list is proposed, limited to those who either responded to the previous application or who are considered to have a clear interest in the nature of the proposed change. We have also undertaken a round of preliminary consultation to confirm that consultees wish to be consulted and that communication of the relevant documentation via email is acceptable. Details of the consultees that the DBC project team proposes to consult with on the non-material change application are provided in Appendix 1 to this letter, with Appendix 2 listing those consultees that the DBC project team does not intend to consult with. The tables include details on whether the consultee is a Section 42 consultee, whether they submitted a relevant or written representation during the examination, or a response to the previous non-material change application and the reasons for their exclusion from this consultation, where appropriate. It also includes consultees that voluntarily withdrew following the preliminary round of consultation


In addition, formal public consultation will also be undertaken, with a notice of the application being published in accordance with Regulation 6 of the Infrastructure Planning Regulations 2011. This notice will be published for two consecutive weeks in the following publications:

- The Evening Gazette; and
- Fishing News

We would be grateful if you can confirm approval of the consultee lists provided in Appendix 1 and 2 and that the publication proposals as outlined above are acceptable in a timely manner to progress this NMC application.

If you have any queries or further information requirements, please do not hesitate to get in touch.

Yours sincerely,



David Scott

Consents Team Manager
Dogger Bank Offshore Wind Farm
1 Waterloo Street
Glasgow
G2 6AY

Appendix 1: Proposed list of stakeholders that would be consulted on the non-material change application

Consultee	Include as Consultees for NMC Application	Section 42 Consultee	Section 43 consultee	Relevant Rep Submitted	Written Rep Submitted	SoCG submitted with Forewind	Consultee's key concerns during DCO application stage
Comite Regional des Peches Maritimes et des Elevages Marins	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	N/A
Coöperatie Kottervisserij Nederland u.a (VisNed)	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Concerns about effects on fisheries
Danish Fishermen Association	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Concerns about effects on fisheries: turbine/infrastructure and inter-array cable layout and procedures for achieving inter-array and cable export burial and avoiding the possibility of seabed fishing hazards
East Anglia Offshore Wind Ltd	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Requests opportunity to comment on any issues which could potentially impact on the East Anglia Offshore Wind Projects within Zone 5 of the Round 3 programme
EPIC Regenerations Consultants and Hartlepool Fishermen's Society	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	N/A
German Fishermen's Association	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	N/A
Hartlepool Fishermen's Society Ltd	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Concerned about the impact on fisheries, particularly the potential impact of HVDC cables on commercial fish stocks
Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC)	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Concerns about benthic and fish ecology and impacts to marine mammals
Mainstream Renewable Power Ltd	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Wish to register as interested parties for the examination phase; cumulative and in-combination assessment both in the environmental assessment and the Habitats Regulations Assessment (ornithology, marine mammals, shipping and navigation)
Marine Management Organisation (MMO) (including Cefas)	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No objection but requests that the following concerns are addressed: impacts to herring and sandeel habitats; noise survey requirements; wave regime impact modelling; benefit of the order; monitoring survey specifications; Provision for combined platforms within the DMLs; and approach to maintenance activity regulation.

Consultee	Include as Consultees for NMC Application	Section 42 Consultee	Section 43 consultee	Relevant Rep Submitted	Written Rep Submitted	SoCG submitted with Forewind	Consultee's key concerns during DCO application stage
Maritime & Coastguard Agency (MCA)	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	N/A
National Federation of Fishermen's Organisations	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Concerned about impacts on fisheries
Natural England	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Concerns about marine wildlife, such as seabirds, and impact on SPAs and SSSIs.
North Eastern Inshore Fisheries and Conservation Authority	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Concerned about sediment loading in the water column and potential impacts of deposition in sensitive areas (i.e. spawning grounds) on the inshore 6 nm of the cable corridor
Norwegian Fishermen's Association and Fiskebat	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	N/A
Orsted (Hornsea zone)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	N/A
Redcar and Teesbay Fishermen's Association	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	N/A
Rederscentrale (Belgian fishermen)	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	N/A
Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (RSPB)	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Concerns around collision risks with seabirds.
Sofia Offshore Wind Farm (RWE)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	RWE were part of the Forewind consortium that originally made the DCO application and therefore were not a consultee. However due the proximity of Sofia Offshore Wind Farm to DBC they will be consulted on the NMC application.
Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farms (RWE)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Due the proximity of Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farm to DBC they will be consulted on the NMC application.
Scottish Fishermen Federation	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Concerns about the loss of fishing grounds
Swedish Fishermen's Federation	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	N/A
The Crown Estate	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Requests to be registered as an interested party
The Wildlife Trusts	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Concerns about potential impacts on marine mammals.

Consultee	Include as Consultees for NMC Application	Section 42 Consultee	Section 43 consultee	Relevant Rep Submitted	Written Rep Submitted	SoCG submitted with Forewind	Consultee's key concerns during DCO application stage
Whale and Dolphin Conservation	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Concerns about the potential for cetaceans to be disturbed and displaced, including by the noise introduced into their environment.

Appendix 2: Proposed list of stakeholders that wouldn't be consulted on the non-material change application

Consultee	Include as Consultees for NMC Application	Section 42 Consultee	Section 43 consultee	Relevant Rep Submitted	Written Rep Submitted	SoCG submitted with Forewind	Reason for Exclusion in NMC consultation	Consultee's key concerns during DCO application stage
Arthur Clifford Jowsey	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	Concerns about cable route and value of personal land for future development.
Bat Conservation Trust	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	As a small charitable organisation they're unable to provide specific comments on development.
Billingham Town Council	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
BRB Residuary Ltd	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
British Gas Pipelines Ltd	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
CABE at Design Council	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
Chamber of Shipping (UK)	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	The proposed change will not alter any of the impacts previously assessed which are likely to be of interest this consultee.	Keen to ensure that gaps between the projects currently proposed in the Dogger Bank Zone (Creyke Beck and Teesside/Sofia) are not used as navigable corridors by large commercial vessels
Civil Aviation Authority	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	The proposed change will not alter any of the impacts previously assessed which are likely to be of interest this consultee	If development is approved, please inform the Defence Geographic Centre (dvof@mod.uk) of: locations, heights, lighting status of the turbines and meteorological masts, estimated and actual dates of construction and the maximum height of any construction equipment to be used, prior to the start of construction, to allow for the appropriate inclusion on Aviation Charts, for safety purposes
Cleveland Fire & Rescue	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
Cleveland Local Resilience Forum	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
Cleveland Police Authority	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A

Consultee	Include as Consultees for NMC Application	Section 42 Consultee	Section 43 consultee	Relevant Rep Submitted	Written Rep Submitted	SoCG submitted with Forewind	Reason for Exclusion in NMC consultation	Consultee's key concerns during DCO application stage
Cleveland Potash Ltd	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	The proposed change will not alter any of the impacts previously assessed which are likely to be of interest this consultee.	Wish to safeguard and protect their rights to freely mine potash and associated minerals from beneath the seabed, east of Boulby
Cruising Association	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	The proposed change will not alter any of the impacts previously assessed which are likely to be of interest this consultee.	Satisfied that its view on recreational sailing taken into account and will not make further representations
Disabled Persons Transport Advisory Committee	No	No	No		No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
EDF Energy – East Riding	No	No	No	No	No	No	NMC should have no impact on activities	Concern regarding the name of the proposed windfarm, and the use of Teesside. Clarification of the cable route and its impact, understand the impact of the windfarms proposed grid connection point and electrical
Energetics Electricity Ltd	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
Energetics Gas Ltd	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
Energetics UK	No	No	No	No	No	No	No plant affected by Teesside A OWF	No plant affected
Environment Agency	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No interests in the relevant area	No objection
Environment Agency - Regional	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	The proposed change will not alter any of the impacts previously assessed which are likely to be of interest this consultee.	N/A
Environmental Agency (onshore and offshore)	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	The proposed change will not alter any of the impacts previously assessed which are	N/A

Consultee	Include as Consultees for NMC Application	Section 42 Consultee	Section 43 consultee	Relevant Rep Submitted	Written Rep Submitted	SoCG submitted with Forewind	Reason for Exclusion in NMC consultation	Consultee's key concerns during DCO application stage
							likely to be of interest this consultee.	
Equality and Human Rights Commission	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
ES Pipelines Ltd	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
ESP Connections Ltd	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
ESP Electricity Ltd	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
ESP Networks Ltd	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
ESP Pipelines Ltd	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
German Federal Maritime and Hydrographic Agency (BSH)	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	The proposed change will not alter any of the impacts previously assessed which are likely to be of interest this consultee.	Interested in making a written representation on the issue of the EIA with regard to potential impact on protected areas and ecologically valuable areas in the German EEZ of the North Sea
Forestry Commission (Yorkshire and The Humber)	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
Fulcrum Pipelines Ltd	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	No objection
Gassco	No	No	No	No	No	No	No changes proposed to export cable as part of NMC, therefore will not alter their position regarding the export cable and Gassco pipeline crossings	N/A
GDF Suez Teeside Ltd	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
Great Ayton Parish Council	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
GTC Pipelines Ltd	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
Guisborough Town Council	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
Hambleton District Council	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
Hartlepool Borough Council	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Onshore interest only	No objection; fully supports application
Hartlepool PCT	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
Health and Safety Executive	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A

Consultee	Include as Consultees for NMC Application	Section 42 Consultee	Section 43 consultee	Relevant Rep Submitted	Written Rep Submitted	SoCG submitted with Forewind	Reason for Exclusion in NMC consultation	Consultee's key concerns during DCO application stage
Health Protection Agency	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
Highways Agency	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
Homes and Communities Agency	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
Historic England (Formerly English Heritage)	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	The proposed change will not alter any of the impacts previously assessed which are likely to be of interest this consultee.	Concerns in relation to heritage assets, both known and unknown, and marine archaeology.
Independent Pipelines Ltd	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	No comment (submitted by GTC on their behalf)
Independent Power Networks Ltd	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	No comment (submitted by GTC on their behalf)
Kildale Parish Council	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
Landgrow Company	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	Concerned about the impact of cable routes and compensation for crop loss
LNG Portable Pipeline Services Ltd	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
Lockwood Parish Council	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
Loftus Town Council	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
Marine Scotland Compliance	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	The application will not affect land in Scotland	N/A
MGT Teesside Ltd	No	No	No	No	No	No	The proposed change will not alter any of the impacts previously assessed which are likely to be of interest this consultee.	N/A
Michael and Patricia Scaife	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	Concerns around the impact of the cable route - a representative was sent by Cyberacres Ltd

Consultee	Include as Consultees for NMC Application	Section 42 Consultee	Section 43 consultee	Relevant Rep Submitted	Written Rep Submitted	SoCG submitted with Forewind	Reason for Exclusion in NMC consultation	Consultee's key concerns during DCO application stage
Michael John O'Carroll	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	Concerned around fair consideration of affected parties with regard to environmental and health impacts
Middlesbrough Council	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Onshore interest only	N/A
Ministry of Defence	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	The proposed change will not alter any of the impacts previously assessed which are likely to be of interest this consultee.	N/A
National Grid Gas Plc	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
National Grid Electricity Transmission Plc (NGET)	No	No	No	No	No	No	The proposed change will not alter any of the impacts previously assessed which are likely to be of interest this consultee.	Concerns around the impact of apparatus. Also objects to the compulsory acquisition of its land and/or rights within the substation boundary at Lackenby.
NATS En-Route (NERL) Safeguarding	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	The proposed change will not alter any of the impacts previously assessed which are likely to be of interest this consultee.	No objection
National Trust	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	The proposed change will not alter any of the impacts previously assessed which are likely to be of interest this consultee.	Concerns about marine wildlife (injury to seal and cetacean populations and monitoring seabirds)
Natural Resources Wales	No	No	No	No	No	No	No relevance to Wales	N/A
NEPIC	No	No	No	No	No	No	The proposed change will not alter any of the impacts previously assessed which are	Supports the application

Consultee	Include as Consultees for NMC Application	Section 42 Consultee	Section 43 consultee	Relevant Rep Submitted	Written Rep Submitted	SoCG submitted with Forewind	Reason for Exclusion in NMC consultation	Consultee's key concerns during DCO application stage
							likely to be of interest this consultee.	
Network Rail (CTRL) Ltd	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	No objection
Network Rail Infrastructure Ltd	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interests only	No objection provided protective provisions are included
NHS North of England	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
North East Ambulance Service NHS Foundation Trust	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
North York Moors National Park Authority	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
North Yorkshire County Council	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	No comment, but wishes to remain an interested party
Northern Gas Networks Limited	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
Northern Powergrid (Northeast) Limited	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
Northumbrian Water Ltd	No	No	No	No	No	No	The proposed change will not alter any of the impacts previously assessed which are likely to be of interest this consultee.	That coordination would be required for diversion works for utility's apparatus etc.
Nunthorpe Parish Council	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
Office of Rail Regulation (Customer Correspondence Team Manager)	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
OFGEM	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
OFWAT	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
Passenger Focus	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
PD Ports	No	No	No	No	No	No	The proposed change will not alter any of the impacts previously assessed which are	N/A

Consultee	Include as Consultees for NMC Application	Section 42 Consultee	Section 43 consultee	Relevant Rep Submitted	Written Rep Submitted	SoCG submitted with Forewind	Reason for Exclusion in NMC consultation	Consultee's key concerns during DCO application stage
							likely to be of interest this consultee.	
Public Health England	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	No objection
Quadrant Pipelines Ltd	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	No comment (submitted by GTC on their behalf)
Redcar and Cleveland Borough Council	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Onshore interest only	Concerns with regards to the impact on landscape/ecology during the construction phase of development; highway implications; the siting of the converter stations (noise generation and visual appearance); the impacts from noise and vibration during construction; and the socio-economic affects from the development.
Redcar and Cleveland PCT	No	No	No	No	No		Onshore interest only	N/A
Royal Mail Group	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	Concerned about the potential for disruption to its mail collection, transport and delivery during the construction phase for the onshore infrastructure.
Royal National Lifeboat Institution	No	No	No	No	No	No	The proposed change will not alter any of the impacts previously assessed which are likely to be of interest this consultee.	
Royal Yachting Association	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	The proposed change will not alter any of the impacts previously assessed which are likely to be of interest this consultee.	Operational safety zones, layout and export cable burial and landfall. They remain unconvinced that curved layouts provide a readily understandable pattern within a site layout for either the mariner or for Search and Rescue assets.
RWE Dea (RDUK)	No	No	No	No	No	No	No longer own relevant pipeline, appears to be owned by Inneos. No changes proposed to export	N/A

Consultee	Include as Consultees for NMC Application	Section 42 Consultee	Section 43 consultee	Relevant Rep Submitted	Written Rep Submitted	SoCG submitted with Forewind	Reason for Exclusion in NMC consultation	Consultee's key concerns during DCO application stage
							cable as part of NMC; therefore will not alter position regarding the export cable and Breagh pipeline	
SABIC UK Petrochemicals Ltd.	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	Concerns around the crossing point of Works 6A and 6B and impact on their operation of the Wilton Site
Saltburn, Marske & New Marske Parish	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
Scarborough Borough Council	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
Scotland Gas Networks Plc	No	No	No	No	No	No	NMC should have no impact on activities	N/A
Scottish Natural Heritage	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	The application will not affect land in Scotland	N/A
Sembcorp Utilities (UK) Ltd	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	Concerns around the proposed cable route alignment
Shell	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
Skelton & Brotton Parish Council	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
South Tees Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
Southern Gas Networks Plc	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
SSE Pipelines Ltd	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
Staithe Harbour Board	No	No	No	No	No	No	Nearshore interest only	N/A
Stockton-on-Tees Borough Council	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
Sustainable Development Commission	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
Tata Communications Plc	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	The proposed change will not alter any of	N/A

Consultee	Include as Consultees for NMC Application	Section 42 Consultee	Section 43 consultee	Relevant Rep Submitted	Written Rep Submitted	SoCG submitted with Forewind	Reason for Exclusion in NMC consultation	Consultee's key concerns during DCO application stage
							the impacts previously assessed which are likely to be of interest this consultee.	
Tees Valley RIGS Group	No	No	No	No	No	No	The proposed change will not alter any of the impacts previously assessed which are likely to be of interest this consultee.	Concerns around the protection of the geological outcrops within the defined RIGS site boundaries from damage/destruction due to the drilling, cable-laying and associated works (the landfall works) of the development
Tees, Esk and Wear Valleys NHS Foundation	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
The British Waterways Board	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
The Coal Authority	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
The Commission for Rural Communities	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
Electricity Network Company Ltd.	No	No	No	No	No	No	NMC should have no impact on activities	No comment (submitted by GTC on their behalf)
The Gas Transportation Company Ltd.	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
The Highways Agency	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	No objection but recommends conditions to ensure HDD drilling is undertaken in accordance with DMRB guidance and eth Agency is fully involved in the CTMP and CTP process
Trinity House	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	The proposed change will not alter any of the impacts previously assessed which are likely to be of interest this consultee.	N/A
UK Power Networks Ltd	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
UK Trade & Investment (UKTI)	No	No	No	No	No	No	Supports the application	No concerns

Consultee	Include as Consultees for NMC Application	Section 42 Consultee	Section 43 consultee	Relevant Rep Submitted	Written Rep Submitted	SoCG submitted with Forewind	Reason for Exclusion in NMC consultation	Consultee's key concerns during DCO application stage
Utility Grid Installations Limited	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	No comment (submitted by GTC on their behalf)
Wales and West Utilities Ltd	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A
West Midlands Metropolitan Authority Pension Fund	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	The proposed change will not alter any of the impacts previously assessed which are likely to be of interest this consultee.	Proposes granting a lease rather than an easement for the cable route
York Potash	No	No	No	No	No	No	The proposed change will not alter any of the impacts previously assessed which are likely to be of interest this consultee.	Need to ensure that when the onshore cable is installed it doesn't impact subterranean mineral transport, power supply etc.
Yorkshire Water	No	No	No	No	No	No	Onshore interest only	N/A